



(12)

EUROPEAN PATENT APPLICATION

(43) Date of publication:
28.08.2002 Bulletin 2002/35

(51) Int Cl.⁷: **H03F 1/34**

(21) Application number: 02396020.6

(22) Date of filing: 19.02.2002

(84) Designated Contracting States:
**AT BE CH CY DE DK ES FI FR GB GR IE IT LI LU
 MC NL PT SE TR**
 Designated Extension States:
AL LT LV MK RO SI

(72) Inventor: **Haapakoski, Arto**
441100 Äänekoski (FI)

(74) Representative: Kuplainen, Juhani
c/o Oulun Patenttitoimisto,
Berggren Oy Ab,
Lentokatu 2
90460 Oulunsalo (FI)

(30) Priority: **21.02.2001 FI 20010330**

**(71) Applicant: Nokla Corporation
02150 Espoo (FI)**

(54) Method for reducing interference in a transmitter

(57) The invention relates to a method for reducing interference in radio transmitters with feedback from the antenna end to the baseband side and to a transmitter applying the said method. In a Cartesian loop formed for the linearization of a transmitter, the levels of baseband input signals (s_{I2} , s_{Q2}) to a modulator are measured and the attenuation of a level control unit (210) following the modulator (203) is adjusted until the modu-

lator input signal levels are sufficiently above the noise level. The adjustment may be carried out as a one-time procedure during the manufacturing process or repeatedly during the operation of the device. By means of the invention, the noise level of the output signal of a linearized transmitter can be made lower than in known transmitters. Moreover, the transmitter may utilize a cheaper and, from the point of view of manufacturing, easier 4modulator than known transmitters.




Fig. 2

Description

[0001] The invention relates to a method for reducing interference in radio transmitters, which have feedback from the antenna end to the baseband side. The invention further relates to a transmitter applying the said method.

[0002] In all radio systems utilizing multiple carrier wave frequencies it is important that the signals in the different frequency division channels not interfere with each other. Non-interference requires that transmission power levels are kept low enough and the spectrum of the transmission signal is confined as accurately as possible to the frequency band allocated to it. Spreading of the spectrum is caused by the modulation required by radio transmission and in particular by nonlinearity in the transmitter units. The latter results in various extra spurious spectra which may be located in bands corresponding to the other frequency division channels. In practice, there is always some nonlinearity in mixers and amplifiers, in principle the more, the higher the level of the signal. So, in this respect the power amplifier in a transmitter may prove to be a problematic component.

[0003] Filters have been conventionally used to limit the spectrum of a signal. In practice, however, the linearity of the transmitter must also be taken into account, in addition to filtering. One way of improving the linearity is to arrange for feedback from the antenna feed point to the baseband side so that a change is made in the signal going to the modulator, which change is equal to the distortion but opposite to it. This type of correction is represented by the so-called Cartesian loop shown in Fig. 1. The figure shows a simplified block diagram of a transmitter comprising, connected in series, a modulator 103, a first level control unit 110, radio-frequency power amplifier 120, directional coupler 130, and an antenna 140. The modulator is of the quadrature type: it has got two branches, I (in-phase) and Q (quadrature phase), which both include an analog multiplier that shifts the signal spectrum into the radio-frequency range. Carrier waves of the same frequency are brought to the analog multipliers and a phase difference of 90 degrees, necessary for the operation of the modulator, is produced between the carrier waves in a block of its own. The carrier wave comes from a local oscillator 171. The signals output by the analog multipliers are summed up, producing the modulator output signal s_M .

[0004] The level control units 110 and 150 may be variable attenuators or variable amplifiers. The attenuation or gain may be adjusted in a continuous or stepwise manner. In this description and in the claims we will only use the term attenuation as regards the level control unit. Attenuation may also be negative, which means (positive) gain.

[0005] The feedback branch of the Cartesian loop begins at the side port p1 of the said directional coupler 130, to which port a small fraction of the energy fed towards the antenna by the power amplifier 120 is trans-

ferred. The signal s_{FB} from the port p1 is taken to the second level control unit 150 and from there to a quadrature demodulator 160. The carrier waves used by the demodulator are synchronized with the carrier waves of the modulator 103, so the demodulator produces signals shaped like the input signals of the modulator. In Fig. 1, the output signal of the upper or I' branch is marked s_I and the output signal of the lower or Q' branch is marked s_Q .

[0006] For modulation, the data signal to be transmitted is divided into two signals s_{I1} and s_{Q1} , which are the input signals of the structure shown in Fig. 1. They would be taken straight to the modulator were linearization not used. In Fig. 1, the difference of the I branch input signal s_{I1} and the corresponding signal s_I generated by the demodulator is produced in a differential amplifier 101 the output signal s_{I2} of which is then taken to the analog multiplier of the I branch of the modulator 103, to the baseband input thereof. Similarly, the difference of the Q branch input signal s_{Q1} and the corresponding signal s_Q generated by the demodulator is produced in a differential amplifier 102 the output signal s_{Q2} of which is then taken to the baseband input of the Q branch of the modulator 103. This closes the Cartesian loop. The feedback provides information on the distortion caused primarily by the power amplifier, and the loop attempts to minimize this distortion, i.e. linearize the transmission path. Linearization is based on the fact that when the loop gain is high enough and the feedback fast enough, signal s_I is forced into the shape of signal s_{I1} and, correspondingly, signal s_Q is forced into the shape of signal s_{Q1} . Thus the signal fed to the antenna, from which signals s_I and s_Q have been developed, corresponds to the undistorted baseband signals and therefore has a purer spectrum than if no feedback were used. For the waveforms of signals s_{I1} and s_I and, correspondingly, the waveforms of signals s_{Q1} and s_Q to be coincident in time, the delay in the signal caused by the transmission path to the antenna must be accounted for. To this end, the carrier wave from the local oscillator 171 is taken to the demodulator direct, but to the modulator via a phase shifter 172. A value is determined for the phase difference $\Delta\phi$ produced by the phase shifter such that the above-mentioned delay is cancelled from the feedback point of view. The phase shifter could as well be located in the branch leading to the demodulator, in which case the phase difference $\Delta\phi$ should be opposite to the one depicted in Fig. 1.

[0007] Fig. 1 further shows a processor 180 to set the attenuations of the first and second level control units. This kind of a structure is known from patent publication EP 0 638 994. The idea therein, in addition to linearization, is to set the output level of the transmitter suitable while at the same time keeping the loop gain, which is important for the functioning of the loop, unchanged. This is realized by changing the transmitter output level, or the level of signal s_P , by driving the second level control unit 150. The said level depends directly on the set-

ting of the second level control unit since the levels of signals s_P and s_Q are in practice constant. The first level control unit 110 is simultaneously driven in the opposite direction so that the combined attenuation of the level control units stays constant. The drawback of the structure is that the noise caused by the modulator 103 may reach a detrimental level. This results from the fact that component tolerances cause variation in the levels of the modulator input signals s_{I2} and s_{Q2} in individual devices. The input signal levels may be relatively near the noise level, whereby naturally the signal-to-noise ratio of the modulator output signal and the output signal of the whole transmitter is relatively poor.

[0008] An object of the invention is to reduce the said disadvantage associated with the prior art. The method according to the invention is characterized in that which is specified in the independent claim 1. The transmitter according to the invention is characterized in that which is specified in the independent claim 5.

[0009] The basic idea of the invention is that in the Cartesian loop formed for the linearization of a transmitter, the level of baseband input signals to the modulator is measured and the attenuation of a level control unit following the modulator is adjusted until the modulator input signal levels are suitable. The adjustment may be realized as a one-time step in the manufacturing process or repeatedly during the operation of the device.

[0010] An advantage of the invention is that the noise level of the output signal of the linearized transmitter is on average lower than in prior-art transmitters. This is a result from the fact that in the transmitter according to the invention it is made certain that the levels of the modulator input signals stay above the noise level to a sufficient extent. Another advantage of the invention is that for the reason mentioned above it is possible to use a cheaper and, from the point of view of manufacturing, easier modulator than in transmitters according to the prior art. Moreover, a better signal-to-noise ratio of the modulator input signals means that transmitter linearization works better than in transmitters of the prior art, whereby the spectrum of the signal stays better within the channel allocated to it. A further advantage of the invention is that it can also be used to detect instability in the Cartesian loop if such instability exists. The instability can be seen as temporal variation in the modulator input signal levels.

[0011] The invention is described more closely in the following. Reference is made to the accompanying drawings in which

Fig. 1 shows an example of an arrangement according to the prior art for reducing transmitter interference,

Fig. 2 shows an example of the arrangement according to the invention for reducing transmitter interference,

Fig. 3 shows in the form of flow diagram the method according to the invention, and

Fig. 4 shows an example of a radio apparatus applying the invention.

[0012] Fig. 1 was already discussed in connection with the description of the prior art.

[0013] Fig. 2 shows a basic Cartesian loop like the one shown in Fig. 1. The differential amplifiers 201 and 202 in the transmitter input are shown to be integrators, which means that they amplify an integrated difference signal. Integration produces suitable slowness for the loop function in order to improve stability. A significant difference from the arrangement depicted in Fig. 1 is that the control information for the first level control unit 210 is taken from within the loop and not from outside the loop. In the arrangement according to Fig. 2, the levels of the output signals of the differential amplifiers 201, i. e. the input signals of the modulator 203, or at least one of them, is monitored by a measuring circuit 281 belonging to a calibrating unit 280. The output of the said measuring circuit is connected to a first input of a control circuit 282. At the second input of the control circuit 282 there is a constant reference level L_{ref} for comparison. The output of the control unit, which is also the output of the calibrating unit 280 is connected to a control input of the first level control unit 210. If the signal level measured is below the reference level, the attenuation of the first level control unit is increased. The level of the transmitter output signal s_P is thus at first dropped, whereby the levels of the output signals s_P and s_Q of the demodulator 260 drop, too. This further causes the levels of the output signals s_{I2} and s_{Q2} of the differential amplifiers to rise, as do the output levels of the units following them. The change goes on within the Cartesian loop until the levels of the signals s_P and s_Q have again reached the levels of the input signals s_{I1} and s_{Q1} . So, the levels of the signals s_{I2} and s_{Q2} rise with the result that they end up being equal to the reference level L_{ref} . The reference level L_{ref} is chosen such that it is sufficiently above the noise level in the modulator input. In accordance with the invention it is made certain, in the manner described above, that the signal-to-noise ratio cannot substantially decrease in the modulator which in this respect is a critical component.

[0014] Conversely, if the signal level measured is above the reference level, the attenuation of the first level control unit is decreased, and the procedure described above is repeated, with the exception that the sign of each level change is reversed. Operation according to the invention has in this case the advantage that the modulator and the units following it stay more accurately within the linear region.

[0015] Level variation in the modulator input signals s_{I2} and s_{Q2} is mainly a result of transmitter component tolerances. So the variation is device-specific, which means that in principle it suffices that the attenuation of

the first level control unit is set suitable once during the manufacturing process of the device. In that case the calibrating unit 280 consists of equipment external to the radio apparatus. Alternatively, the calibrating unit may be arranged to be completely internal to the radio apparatus. In that case the measurement and adjustment of the modulator input signal levels can be arranged to be carried out repeatedly in a free time slot of the radio system in which the transmitter is operating. Also the transmission time slot can be used if the signal quality stays within allowable limits during the adjustment. The manner of implementation of the calibrating unit may vary greatly. The operating blocks 281 and 282 shown in Fig. 2 may be analog or part analog, part digital. They may also be realized processor-based, whereby the measured signals s_{12} and s_{Q2} are first converted digital and then processed in the processor.

[0016] Fig. 3 illustrates the method according to the invention in a flow diagram. In step 301 the level of at least one of the modulator's input signals is measured. In step 302 it is checked whether the level measured equals the reference level. If not, it is checked to which direction it deviates therefrom (step 303). If the level measured is too low, the attenuation of the first level control unit is increased in accordance with step 304. Conversely, if the level measured is too high, the attenuation of the first level control unit is decreased in accordance with step 305. After both step 304 and step 305 the process returns to step 302. The operation goes on in this manner until the level measured equals the reference level with sufficient accuracy. If calibration is realized using a calibrating unit internal to the radio apparatus, the level measurement according to step 301 is carried out repeatedly. When the attenuation of the level control unit has been changed, the process returns after a certain time Δt to step 301 in a suitable time slot (step 306). The process also returns there if the level measured equals the reference level with sufficient accuracy. That part of the diagram only regarding the internal calibrating is shown with dashed lines in Fig. 3.

[0017] Fig. 4 shows an example of a radio apparatus MS (Mobile Station) comprising a transmitter 400 according to the invention. The radio apparatus MS may be in accordance with the TETRA (Terrestrial Trunked Radio) system, for instance. The radio apparatus need not be a portable one, as in Fig. 4, but it may be part of a base station, for example.

[0018] Above it was described the principle and a manner of implementation of the invention. The invention is not limited to the structures and implementations described above. It can be applied in different ways in different systems within the scope defined by the independent claims.

Claims

1. A method to reduce interference in a radio transmit-

ter which comprises, listed in direction of propagation of a signal, at least one differential amplifier, a modulator, first level control unit, a power amplifier, a directional coupler and an antenna as well as a feedback path from the directional coupler to said differential amplifiers, which feedback path comprises a second level control unit and a demodulator, to linearize the radio transmitter, in which method the attenuation of at least one level control unit is changed in order to improve a functioning of a loop closed by said feedback path, **characterized in that**

- the level of at least one input signal of the modulator is measured (301),
- the level measured is compared (302, 303) to a certain reference level,
- the attenuation of the first level control unit is increased (304) if the level measured is lower than the reference level, and
- the attenuation of the first level control unit is decreased (305) if the level measured is higher than the reference level.

2. The method according to claim 1, **characterized in that** measures to set the attenuation of the first level control unit are carried out in conjunction with a manufacture of the radio transmitter.

3. The method according to claim 1, where the radio transmitter functions according to a system based on time division technology, **characterized in that** measures to set the attenuation of the first level control unit are carried out repeatedly (306) in a free time slot of said system when the radio transmitter is in operation.

4. The method according to claim 1, where the radio transmitter functions according to a system based on time division technology, **characterized in that** measures to set the attenuation of the first level control unit are carried out repeatedly (306) in a transmission time slot of said system when the radio transmitter is in operation.

5. A radio transmitter comprising, listed in direction of propagation of a signal, at least one differential amplifier (201, 202) to produce a difference of a base-band input signal and a feedback signal, a modulator (203), a first level control unit (210), a power amplifier (220), a directional coupler (230) and an antenna (240) as well as a feedback path from the directional coupler to said differential amplifiers, which feedback path comprises a second level control unit (250) and a demodulator (260), to linearize the radio transmitter, **characterized in that** it comprises a means (280) to measure a level of at least one input signal of the modulator and to compare

that level to a certain reference level and to set the attenuation of the first level control unit on the basis of the result of the comparison.

6. The radio transmitter according to claim 5, **characterized in that** said means (281) to measure the level of at least one input signal of the modulator comprises an analog-to-digital converter, and said means (282) to compare the level of at least one input signal of the modulator and to set the attenuation of the first level control unit comprises a processor. 5 10
7. The radio transmitter according to claim 5, **characterized in that** said means to measure the level of at least one input signal of the modulator and to compare the level to a certain reference level, and to set the attenuation of the first level control unit, comprises an analog comparator and amplifier. 15 20
8. A radio apparatus (MS) comprising a transmitter (400) having a Cartesian loop, which includes a modulator and a first level control unit connected to an output thereof, **characterized in that** the transmitter further comprises a means to measure a level of at least one input signal of the modulator and to compare that level to a certain reference level and to set the attenuation of the first level control unit on the basis of the result of the comparison. 25 30

30

35

40

45

50

55




Fig. 1 PRIOR ART




Fig. 2




Fig. 3




Fig. 4



(12) **EUROPEAN PATENT APPLICATION**

(88) Date of publication A3:
08.09.2004 Bulletin 2004/37

(51) Int Cl.7: **H03F 1/34, H03G 3/30**

(43) Date of publication A2:
28.08.2002 Bulletin 2002/35

(21) Application number: **02396020.6**

(22) Date of filing: **19.02.2002**

(84) Designated Contracting States:
**AT BE CH CY DE DK ES FI FR GB GR IE IT LI LU
MC NL PT SE TR**
Designated Extension States:
AL LT LV MK RO SI

(72) Inventor: **Haapakoski, Arto**
441100 Äänekoski (FI)

(74) Representative: **Kupiainen, Juhani**
c/o Oulun Patenttitoimisto,
Berggren Oy Ab,
Lentokatu 2
90460 Oulunsalo (FI)

(30) Priority: **21.02.2001 FI 20010330**

(71) Applicant: **Nokia Corporation**
02150 Espoo (FI)

(54) **Method for reducing interference in a transmitter**

(57) The invention relates to a method for reducing interference in radio transmitters with feedback from the antenna end to the baseband side and to a transmitter applying the said method. In a Cartesian loop formed for the linearization of a transmitter, the levels of baseband input signals (s_{I2} , s_{Q2}) to a modulator are measured and the attenuation of a level control unit (210) following the modulator (203) is adjusted until the modu-

lator input signal levels are sufficiently above the noise level. The adjustment may be carried out as a one-time procedure during the manufacturing process or repeatedly during the operation of the device. By means of the invention, the noise level of the output signal of a linearized transmitter can be made lower than in known transmitters. Moreover, the transmitter may utilize a cheaper and, from the point of view of manufacturing, easier 4modulator than known transmitters.




Fig. 2



European Patent
Office

EUROPEAN SEARCH REPORT

Application Number
EP 02 39 6020

DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT			
Category	Citation of document with indication, where appropriate, of relevant passages	Relevant to claim	CLASSIFICATION OF THE APPLICATION (Int.Cl.7)
Y	WO 00/57563 A (SIMOCO INT LTD ; RAYNE MARK WENTWORTH (GB)) 28 September 2000 (2000-09-28)	1-5,8	H03F1/34 H03G3/30
A	* page 13, line 31 - page 16, line 34; figure 1 *	6	
Y	US 5 977 824 A (SPARKS GEOFFREY S) 2 November 1999 (1999-11-02)	1-5,8	
A	* column 2, line 21 - column 3, line 43; figures 1-3 *	7	
A	WO 95/06354 A (HOROWITZ ILAN ; ROZENTAL MARK (IL); SHAMSIAN RONI (IL); BEN AYUN MOSHE) 2 March 1995 (1995-03-02) * page 10, line 13 - page 11, line 27; figure 7 *	1,5,8	
A	EP 0 648 012 A (LINEAR MODULATION TECH) 12 April 1995 (1995-04-12) * column 3, line 34 - column 6, line 52; figure 1 *	1,5,8	
			TECHNICAL FIELDS SEARCHED (Int.Cl.7)
			H03F H03G
The present search report has been drawn up for all claims			
Place of search The Hague		Date of completion of the search 15 July 2004	Examiner Tyberghien, G
<p>CATEGORY OF CITED DOCUMENTS</p> <p>X : particularly relevant if taken alone Y : particularly relevant if combined with another document of the same category A : technological background O : non-written disclosure P : intermediate document</p> <p>T : theory or principle underlying the invention E : earlier patent document, but published on, or after the filing date D : document cited in the application L : document cited for other reasons & : member of the same patent family, corresponding document</p>			

EPO FORM 1503 03 02 (P04C01)

**ANNEX TO THE EUROPEAN SEARCH REPORT
ON EUROPEAN PATENT APPLICATION NO.**

EP 02 39 6020

This annex lists the patent family members relating to the patent documents cited in the above-mentioned European search report.
The members are as contained in the European Patent Office EDP file on
The European Patent Office is in no way liable for these particulars which are merely given for the purpose of information.

15-07-2004

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date		Patent family member(s)	Publication date
WO 0057563	A	28-09-2000	AU	3306700 A	09-10-2000
			EP	1163728 A1	19-12-2001
			WO	0057563 A1	28-09-2000
			GB	2348062 A ,B	20-09-2000

US 5977824	A	02-11-1999	EP	0847620 A1	17-06-1998
			WO	9800910 A1	08-01-1998
			JP	11512269 T	19-10-1999

WO 9506354	A	02-03-1995	GB	2281668 A	08-03-1995
			GB	2282290 A	29-03-1995
			CN	1114847 A	10-01-1996
			EP	0665996 A1	09-08-1995
			WO	9506354 A1	02-03-1995
			US	5722056 A	24-02-1998

EP 0648012	A	12-04-1995	EP	0648012 A1	12-04-1995
			GB	2283140 A	26-04-1995
			US	5507017 A	09-04-1996

EPO FORM P0459

For more details about this annex : see Official Journal of the European Patent Office, No. 12/82